

Report on meeting held with LFMC executive and LVA, 9th April 1990.

1. Present: Dianna Maveroleon, Douglas Foot, Christian Anstice and Micheal Maziere.
2. The Meeting: Object of the meeting was primarilyly diplomatic - to smooth over any misunderstandings over the proposal for a shared building.
3. LVA have to leave their present building as the rent is to go up from £19,000.00 per annum to £30,000.00 per annum, their present lease expires approx two months from the date of this meeting.
4. In spite of the LFMC allaying their fears that phase two of the feasibility study was not guaranteed in writing by the BFI, to go ahead after phase one, if the two parties so desired.

LVA's reaction was that phase two was simply subject to the two parties approval after phase one, and not subject to the approval of the BFI.

5. LFMC tried to arrange for that a meeting, which would be properly minuted and thus as a one off meeting, rather than an ongoing series of meetings would be minuted and minutes approved by the signaturies present at the meeting. The two major objects of the meeting would be
 - (i) Both parties to confirm their commitment to phase two of the feasibility study
 - (ii) To air views and sort out past problems with the past consultancy surveys, with a particular view to phase one, LFMC felt that past surveys were insensitive to the needs of LFMC, and there was always the risk that to some extent LFMC could be held subject to such documents by funding institutions and otherwise.

LVA felt that there had been to many meetings in the past, the surveys should either be started or forgotten about.

6. LVA felt that some ammendments needed to be made to the feasibility brief, namely ammendments already agreed betwen the two parties and the GLA and BFI where to be present at all stages of the study, Douglas Foot was to come up on Wednesday 11th April to make the ammendments, this meeting was cancelled and an informal meeting was arranged between Tom Heslop and Douglas Foot.

The meeting was called to my notice on Friday 6th April 1990, I understood that the meeting had been passed through the executive meeting 5th April 1990, this was not in actual fact the case as the conditions that were felt appropriate to the meeting were not carried out, such a meeting was to be held only if Tom Heslop was to be properly notified and present.